Goulburn Flooding Technical Working Group

Meeting 1

26th October 2023

Agenda





- Introductions
- Flood Study & Coverage
- Planned Growth in Goulburn
- Goulburn Flood Situation
 - Issues raised
- Current & Emerging Planning Proposals overview



Goulburn Floodplain Risk Management Study and Plan

GOULBURN FLOODPLAIN RISK MANAGEMENT STUDY AND PLAN FINAL REPORT



- Adopted 16 August 2022
- Prepared by GRC Hydro
- Addresses Riverine Flooding
- Overland flow also modelled
 - Overland Study forthcoming
- Covers all Goulburn urban area
- Covers nearly all growth precincts
- Applies hazard category approach (FPCC 1-4)
- 14 Recommendations



grc



Planned Growth

Urban and Fringe Housing Strategy (Adopted 2020)

3,045 new dwellings by 2036

- Serviced- 80%
- Large Lot- fringe- 12%
- Infill- 8%

22% increase in dwellings (2016)

~ 7308 new residents

□~ 30% increase in city population

Locations of growth areas- up to 2036





Overview of Goulburn Urban Area

River Crossings

- 1. Braidwood Rd Bridge
- 2. Lansdowne Bridge
- 3. Blackshaw Rd crossing
- 4. May St Bridge
- 5. Sydney Rd Bridge
- 6. Kenmore Bridge
- 7. Victoria St Bridge
- 8. Marsden Bridge
- 9. Rossi Bridge

Flood Study Extents

Water Filtration Plant Sewerage Treatment

Property Boundaries -Creeks and Rivers Clubs / Evacuation

Goulburn CBD

Bridges

Plant

Centres Council Office Hospital

10. Baw Baw Bridge



20% AEP 1 in 5yr

- Minor flood extent
- Inundation of Sports Fields, Golf Course & Nature reserve
- Blackshaw Road crossing & roads leading to May Street Bridge inundated

Flood Study Extents

Water Filtration Plant

Sewerage Treatment

Property Boundaries

Creeks and Rivers

Clubs / Evacuation

Council Office Hospital

Goulburn CBD

Bridges

Plant

Centres



10% AEP 1 in 10yr

Minor flood extent Inundation of:

- Sports Fields
- Recreation ground
- Nature Reserve











1% AEP 1 in 100yr

Inundation of:

approach roads to Braidwood Bridge inundated



0.5% AEP 1 in 200yr

Inundation- Lots on lower part of Eastgrove Rossi Street Bridge becomes inundated





0.2% AEP 1 in 500yr

Inundation-

- Lots on lower part of Eastgrove
- Rossi Street Bridge becomes inundated
- Kenmore Bridge
- Sydney Road Bridge
- Victoria Street Bridge





0.05% AEP 1 in 2000yr

Inundation of:

- All bridges and approach roads crossing the Mulwaree & Wollondilly rivers
- Encroachment of inundation into lower CBD Core
- Goulburn Central urban area isolated





Issues

- Goulburn Urban Area isolated between 0.2% & 0.05% events- N.Goulburn cut off
- Nominated Evacuation Centres inundated during PMF event
- Substations and Sewage Treatment plant inundated during PMF event
- Brisbane Grove & Mountain Ash Growth Precincts isolated at 1%

- Is Goulburn Central suitable Evacuation location?
- When should a FIRA be requested?
- Can Evacuation be achieved without a warning system?
- What are considered 'adequate services'?
 - Is there an acceptable isolation duration?
- How can in-direct isolation impacts be reduced?

 Is the 0.05% (1 in 2000yr) a more appropriate Evacuation benchmark?
 Can we establish an agreed policy position? FIRA Requirements Evacuation centres Adequate Services



Current Planning Proposals

- 137 Brisbane Grove (*PP_2021_7390*)
- Allfarthing, 2 Brisbane Grove (*PP_2021_6932*)
- 292 Rosemont Rd & 100 Mountain Ash Rd (PP_2022_1180)
- 274 Mountain Ash Road (*PP_2021_7072*)

Allfarthing, 2 Brisbane Grove

Brisbane Grove Precinct

Inside Flood Study







Category 4

Θ

- 34.8ha site
- 12 existing lots
- 13 lots proposed
- >2ha Lot size
- RU6 Rural Transition to R5 Large Lot Residential & C2 Environmental Conservation
- FIRA underway

Allfarthing, 2 Brisbane Grove Road

Brisbane Grove Precinct

Latest Concept Layout Plan



- 14 Proposed lots
- Dwelling pads all outside flood prone land
- PMF & overland flow corridor zoned C2 Environmental Conservation
- Isolated from Goulburn Urban area during a 1% event



137 Brisbane Grove Road *Brisbane Grove Precinct*

- 21 Proposed lots
- Dwelling pads all outside flood prone land
- FPA & overland flow corridor zoned C2 Environmental Conservation
- Isolated from Goulburn Urban area during a 1% event



- 73.7ha total site (40.98ha & 32.74ha)
- 3 existing lots
- ~14 lots proposed
- >2ha Lot size
- RU6 Rural Transition to R5 Large Lot Residential & C2 Environmental Conservation
- FIRA underway





292 Rosemont Rd & 100 Mountain





292 Rosemont Rd & 100 Mountain Ash Rd



100 Mountain Ash Rd

Isolated from Goulburn Urban area during a 2% event Mountain Ash Precinct

- 14 Proposed lots
- Proposed dwelling pads all outside flood prone land
- Overland flow corridor zoned C2 Environmental Conservation

Isolated from Goulburn Urban area during a PMF event







- 270ha total site
- 13 existing lots
- ~100 lots proposed
- >2ha Lot size
- RU1 Primary Production to R5 Large Lot Residential & C2 Environmental Conservation

FIRA submitted



274 Mountain Ash Rd *Mountain Ash Precinct*

- Over 100 proposed lots
- Proposed dwelling pads all outside flood prone land
- Overland flow corridor zoned C2 Environmental Conservation

Stage 1 isolated from Goulburn Urban Area during a PMF event

Stage 2 & 3 Isolated from Goulburn Urban Area during a 5% event



Emerging Planning Proposal

- Goulburn CBD & Surrounds
 - Seeks to increase residential density (FSR, HOB, MLS, Zoning)
 - No additional permissibility's



Next Meeting- Meeting 2 2 Nov 2023

- Addressing Isolation
 - Levels of acceptable duration?
 - Associated risks
- Evacuation requirements
 - Where to?
 - What Services?
- Shelter in Place Policy
 - Specific to Brisbane Grove & Mountain Ash growth precincts
 - What should be required?
- Brisbane Grove Flood Consultant

Goulburn Flooding Technical Working Group

Meeting 2

2 November 2023

Agenda







• Hazard Risk approach of FRMSP

- Current DCP Flood Policy
- Brisbane Grove Planning Proposals recap
- Ministerial Direction 4.1- Flooding
 - How it relates to the Brisbane Grove PP's
 - Special Flood Consideration Clause
 - Isolation
- Zac from GRC Hydro- Brisbane Grove FIRA's

Flood Impact & Risk Assessment Guideline

- The varying flood constraints should be assessed consistent with the approach included in the Council's DCP- identifying what areas are suitable for different development types.
- The consent authority and/or local council (generally through a DCP) will often have advice in relation to typical considerations and standards required by different types of development, depending on the flood constraints in the area.
- Any assumptions relating to identification of and acceptability of risk will need to be clearly documented and considered in the context of the consent authority's adopted risk management approach.

A focus on DCP Flood Policy & adopted Floodplain Risk Management Study



Flood impact and risk assessment

Flood risk management guideline LU01



Department of Planning and Environment

Councils Risk Management Approach

Flood Planning Constraint Categories

Flood Planning Area (1% + 0.8m)

Category	Summary
FPCC1	FPCC1 identifies the most significantly constrained areas, with high hazard or significant flood flows present. Intensification of use in FPCC1 is generally very limited except where uses are compatible with flood function and hazard.
FPCC2	FPCC2 areas are the next least suitable for intensification of land use or development because of the effects of flooding on the land, and the consequences to any development and its users.
FPCC3	FPCC3 areas are suitable for most types of development. This is the area of the floodplain where more traditional flood-related development constraints, based on minimum floor and minimum fill levels, will
FPCC4	apply. FPCC4 is the area inundated by the PMF (extent of flood prone land) but outside FPCC1-3. Few flood- related development constraints would be applicable in this area for most development types. Constraints may
PMF	apply to key community facilities and developments where there are significant consequences to the community if failed evacuations occur.



Councils Risk Management Approach

Goulburn Mulwaree Council Development Control Plan Flood Planning Controls

Controls tailored to risk & development type

					00.4						0.01	0.1			1	- 1			-	0.0.1	0.1			-13					EDC	0.0											
				FP	CC 1					FPC	C 2 (Subo	ateg	ory a	,D,C,	e)		F	PCC	C 2 (Subo	cate	gory	d)			_		FPC	CC 3			_		1		FP	200 4	4		
	Critical Uses & Facilities	Sensitive Uses & Facilities	Lot Subdivisions	Residential Development	Commercial & Industrial	Recreation & Non-urban	Sheds & Outbuildings	Minor Additions	Critical Uses & Facilities	Sensitive Uses & Facilities	Lot Subdivisions	Residential Development	Commercial & Industrial	Recreation & Non-urban	Sheds & Outbuildings	Minor Additions	Critical Uses & Facilities	Sensitive Uses & Facilities	Lot Subdivisions		Commercial & Industrial	mmercial & Ind	creatio		Minor Additions	Critical Uses & Facilities	Sensitive Uses & Facilities	Lot Subdivisions	Residential Development	Commercial & Industrial	Recreation & Non-urban	Sheds & Outbuildings	Minor Additions	Critical Uses & Facilities	Sensitive Uses & Facilities	Lot Subdivisions	Residential Development	Commercial & Industrial	Recreation & Non-urban	Sheds & Outbuildings	Minor Additions
Floor Level						1	A1 /	A1					A2 A6	A	.1 A	2 A	2					A2 A6	A1	A2	A3				A4 A6	A2 A6	A1	A2	2 A3	A! Al		5					
Building Components													D	1 D	1 D	01 D	01				D1	D1	D1	D1	D1				D1	D1	D1	D1	D1	D	2 D	2					
Structural Soundness													E	1 E	1 E	E1 E	1				E1	E1	E1	E1	E1				E1	E1	E1	E1	E1	E	2 E	2					
Parking & Driveway							F2 F5	F1 F3 F4					F F F	1 3 F 4 F 5	2 F 5 F	3	-5			F1 F3 F4 F5	F1 F3 F4 F5		F2 F5	F1 F3 F4				E E E E	3 F3 4 F4 5 F5	F1 F3 F4 F5	F2 F5		1 3	E E		2					
Evacuation and Refuge							G4	31					G	3 G	3 G 4	G3				G3 G4	G3	G3	G3					6 6 6	2 3 4 G3	G2 G3	2 G3 64	G1	1	6 6 6	3 G)2)3)4				
Management and Design						E F	H2 F H3 F H4 F	H2 H3 H4					HHH	2 H 3 H 4 H	з н					H1 H5		H2 H3 H4	H2 H3 H4	H2 H3 H4				H H	-	H2 H3 H4	2 H2 3 H3 1 H4					H H	11 15				
Flood Impacts							J1 .	J1					J	1 J	1 J	11 .	J1			J1	J1	J1	J1	J1	J1			J	1 J1	J1	J1	J1	J1								

Detailed controls

Limited controls

No applicable flood controls

CBD

PMF Flood Controls

Applies to Critical & Sensitive Uses & Lot Subdivision only Lot Subdivision

					FPC	CC 4			
Critical Uses & Facilities		Sensitive Uses & Facilities		Lot Subdivisions	Residential Development	Commercial & Industrial	Recreation & Non-urban	Sheds & Outbuildings	Minor Additions
	A5 A6		A5 A6						
	D2		D2						
	E2		E2						
	F2 F3		F2 F3	(
	G2 G3 G4		G2 G3 G4	G2 G3 G4					
				H1 H5					

G2. Reliable access for pedestrians or vehicles required during a <u>1% AEP flood</u> to a publicly accessible location above the PMF.

G3. The development is to be consistent with any relevant flood evacuation strategy or similar plan.

G4. The evacuation requirements of the development are to be considered. An engineer's report will be required if circumstances are possible where the evacuation of persons might not be achieved within the effective warning time.

H1. Applicant to demonstrate that potential development as a consequence of a subdivision proposal can be undertaken in accordance with this DCP.

H5. Finished land levels in new release areas shall be not less than the 1% AEP mainstream flood plus 0.5 m, unless justified by site specific assessment. A surveyor's certificate will be required upon completion certifying that the final levels are not less than the required level.

PMF Flood Controls

Applies to Critical & Sensitive Uses & Lot Subdivision only

Critical & Sensitive Uses

A5.All floor levels to be equal to or greater than the PMF flood level.

A6.Entrance levels to underground spaces (basements, carparking etc.) are required to be above the level of the FPL (1% AEP flood level plus 0.8 m freeboard) or PMF level, whichever is higher.

D2. All structures to have flood compatible building components below the FPL (1% AEP flood level plus 0.8 m freeboard) or the PMF level, whichever is the highest.

E2.Engineers report to certify that any structure can withstand the forces of floodwater, debris and buoyancy up to and including the FPL (1% AEP flood level plus 0.8 m freeboard) or a PMF, whichever is greater.

F2. The minimum surface level of open car parking spaces, carports or garages shall be as high as practical. The driveway providing access between the road and parking space shall be as high as practical and generally rising in the egress direction.

F3. Garages capable of accommodating more than three motor vehicles on land zoned for urban purposes, or enclosed car parking, must be protected from inundation by floods up to the FPL (1% AEP flood level plus 0.8 m freeboard).



FPCC1 Most significantly constrained areas, high hazard, significant flow

FPCC2

Next least suitable for intensification of land use or development

FPCC3 Areas suitable for most types of development

FPCC4 Few flood related development constraints applicable

137 Brisbane Grove Road Planning Proposal



Allfarthing, 2 Brisbane Grove Road Planning

Proposal

Flood-free dwelling pads Isolated in >1% AEP Isolation period >6hrs

FPCC1

Most significantly constrained areas, high hazard, significant flow

FPCC2

Next least suitable for intensification of land use or development

FPCC3 Areas suitable for most types of development

FPCC4 Few flood related development constraints applicable



Braidwood Road Bridge approach roads Flood Depths



Reaches approx. 70cm

Reaches approx. 8m

Deptin: 2.807m

ch 6.957m

Evolt: - 2:458/

Braidwood Road Bridge approach roads Flood Velocities



Area A- 5% AEP

Up to 0.1m/s



Up to 3.6m/s

Area A- PMF

Clause 1- A planning proposal must include provisions that give effect to and are consistent with:

(a) the NSW Flood Prone Land Policy

- (b) The principles of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005
- (c) The Considering flooding in land use planning guideline 2021
- (d) Any adopted flood study and/or floodplain risk management plan prepared in accordance with the principles of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005 and adopted by the relevant council

Is our 2022 adopted Flood Study and Floodplain Risk Management Study out of date? Will/When will this be expanded/amended to include:

- Flood Risk Management Manual
- FBO1 Understanding and Managing
 Flood Risk
- EMO1 Support for Emergency
 Management Planning
- LUO1 Flood Impact and Risk Assessment
- Shelter in Place Guidance

Isolation & Safe Occupation

Clause 2 A planning proposal must not rezone land within the flood planning area from Recreation, Rural, Special Purpose or Conservation Zones to a Residential, Employment, Mixed Use, W4 Working Waterfront or Special Purpose Zones.

Brisbane Groves

- No residential rezoning in FPA
- C2 Environmental Conservation only
- All R5 zoning outside FPA



1% AEP + 0.8m

Clause 3

A planning proposal must not contain provisions that apply to the flood planning area which:

- (a) A permit development in floodway areas
- (b) Permit development that will result in significant flood impacts to other properties
- (c) Permit development for the purpose of residential accommodation in high hazard areas
- (d) Permit a significant increase in the development density
- (e) Permit development for uses difficult to evacuate
- (f) Permit development to be carried out with development consentexcept exempt development & agriculture
- (g) Are likely to result in a significantly increased requirement for government spending on emergency management services, flood mitigation and emergency response measures, or

(h) Permit hazardous industries or hazardous storage establishments



- C2 Environment Conservation Zone only
- Very limited range of permissible development
- No additional development density
- No development without consent
- Hazardous industries prohibited

Clause 4

A planning proposal must not contain provisions that apply to areas between the flood planning area and probable maximum flood to which Special Flood Considerations apply which:

- a) A permit development in floodway areas
- b) Permit development that will result in significant flood impacts to other properties
- c) Permit a significant increase in the dwelling density
- d) Permit development for uses difficult to evacuate
- e) Are likely to affect the safe occupation of and efficient evacuation of the lot
- f) Are likely to result in a significantly increased requirement for government spending on emergency management services, flood mitigation and emergency response measures....

- Endorsed by Council to include in LEP on 2 November 2021
- Applicable to;
 - Correctional Centres,
 - Hospitals,
 - Hazardous Industries & Storage
 - Emergency services facilities.
- Not applied to GM LEP yet
- Development types not applicable



Special Flood Consideration Clause Standard Instrument – Principal Local Environmental Plan

- (2) This clause applies to—

 (a) for sensitive and hazardous development—land between the flood planning area and the probable maximum flood, and
 (b) for development that is not sensitive and hazardous
 development—land the consent authority considers to be land that, in the event of a flood, may—
 - (i) cause a particular risk to life, and
 - (ii) require the evacuation of people or other safety considerations.

(3) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that the development—

(a) will not affect the <u>safe occupation and efficient evacuation</u> of people in the event of a flood, and

(b) incorporates appropriate measures to manage risk to life in the event of a flood, and

(c) will not adversely affect the environment in the event of a flood.

Council Judgement?

All flood affected land?

Safe occupation & efficient evacuation must be satisfied



Clause 5

For the purposes of preparing a planning proposal, the flood planning area must be consistent with the principles of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005 or as otherwise determined by a Floodplain Risk Management Study or Plan adopted by the relevant council.

Goulburn Floodplain Risk Management Study

Flood Planning Area

1% AEP + 0.8m freeboard

Brisbane Grove PP`s

Flood Planning Area

1% AEP + 0.8m freeboard



Isolation & Residual Risk

• When efficient and safe evacuation is not possible, what measures can be required to enable safe occupation?

